

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/587,153	07/25/2006	Josef Artelsmair	ARTELSMAIR ET AL 8 PCT	4970	
COLLARD &	7590 08/17/2010 ROE, P.C.		EXAM	EXAMINER	
1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD		DANG,	DANG, KET D		
ROSLYN, NY 11576		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/17/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/587,153	ARTELSMAIR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
KET D. DANG	3742	

	KET D. DANG	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period is the date for purposes of telemining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of these for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	lianas with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Eladithin two worth	a of the date of				
 I he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally rais	atad alaima					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	scied ciairis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / information (TOL OL+).				
 Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s) 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the co		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 2-6.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	atice of Anneal will no	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/TU B HOANG/	WET D DANG!						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742	/KET D DANG/ Examiner, Art Unit 3742						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the applied references, e.g., Bosna fails to show the housing and a wire buffer

Applicant also argues on page 5 of the Remarks/Arguments that Rigdon et al. shows no wire core. A wire core is disclosed by Bosna, see reference number 30 of figure 2. Rigdon show the housing or container 507a,b with free space 509a,b

Applicant comments on page 6 of the Remarks/Arguments that Benfield does not show welding wire buffer. However, it is noted that the Examiner uses Benfield to teach a quick-lock limitation.